arXiv:1404.4153v2 [math.NT] 7 May 2014

Transcendence of digital expansions and continued fractions generated by a cyclic permutation and k-adic expansion

Eiji Miyanohara
Major in Pure and Applied Mathematics
Graduate School of Fundamental Science and Engineering
Waseda University
3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku
Tokyo 169-8555, Japan
E-mail: j1o9t5acrmo@fuji.waseda.jp

May 8, 2014

Abstract

In this article, first we generalize the Thue-Morse sequence $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (the generalized Thue-Morse sequences) by a cyclic permutation and k -adic expansion of natural numbers, and consider the necessary-sufficient condition that it is non-periodic. Moreover we will show that, if the generalized Thue-Morse sequence is not periodic, then all equally spaced subsequences $(a(N+nl))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) of the generalized Thue-Morse sequences are not periodic. Finally we apply the criterion of [ABL], [Bu1] on transcendental numbers, to find that , for a non periodic generalized Thue-Morse sequences taking the values on $\{0, 1, \cdots, \beta-1\}$(where β is an integer greater than 1), the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(N+nl)\beta^{-n-1}$ gives a transcendental number, and further that for non periodic generalized Thue-Morse sequences taking the values on positive integers, the continued fraction $[0 : a(N), a(N+l), \cdots, a(N+nl), \cdots]$ gives a transcendental number, too.

1 Introduction

In this paper we find many transcendental real numbers defined by using digit counting: Let k be an integer greater than 1. We define the k-adic expansion of natural number n as follows,

$$n = \sum_{q=1}^{finite} s_{n,q} k^{w_n(q)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 \leq s_{n,q} \leq k-1, w_n(q+1) > w_n(q) \geq 0$. Let $e_s(n)$ denote the number of counting of s (where $s \in \{1, \cdots, k-1\}$) in the base k representation of n. For an integer L greater than 1, we define the sequence $(e_s^L(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$e_s^L(n) \equiv e_s(n) \pmod{L}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 \leq e_s^L(n) \leq L-1$, $e_s(0) = 0$. Specifically $(e_1^2(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (where $k=2$) is known as the Thue-Morse sequence.
Now we introduce a new sequence as follows. Let K be a map,

$$K : \{1, \ldots, k-1\} \longrightarrow \{0, 1, \ldots, L-1\}.$$

We put $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$a(n) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} K(s) e_s^L(n) \pmod{L},$$

where $0 \leq a(n) \leq L-1$. Then the authors [MM], [AB1] proved the following result.
Theorem 1.1 (MM-AB1) *Let $\beta \geq L$ be an integer. Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{\beta^{n+1}}$ is a transcendental number unless*

$$sK(1) \equiv K(s) \pmod{L} \ \textit{for all}\ 1 \leq s \leq k-1 \ \textit{and}\ K(k-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{L}. \tag{1.3}$$

Now we define the generalized Thue-Morse sequences as follows: Let $d(n; sk^y)$ (where $s \in \{1, \cdots, k-1\}$ and y is a non negative integer) be 1 or 0, $d(n; sk^y) = 1$ if and only if there exists an integer q such that $s_{n,q} k^{w_n(q)} = sk^y$. Let κ be a map,

$$\kappa : \{1, \ldots, k-1\} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{0, 1, \ldots, L-1\}.$$

where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of non-negative integers (or, natural numbers). We put $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by

$$a(n) \equiv \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \kappa(s, y) d(n; sk^y) \pmod{L}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $0 \leq a(n) \leq L-1$, $a(0) = 0$. In this article we will call $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the generalized Thue-Morse sequences of type (L, k, κ). In this article, we generalize Theorem 1.1 as follows.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\beta \geq L$ be an integer. Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(N+nl)}{\beta^{n+1}}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) is a transcendental number unless there exists an integer A such that*

$$\kappa(s, A+y) \equiv \kappa(1, A) sk^y \pmod{L}, \tag{1.5}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 rests on the results in section 3 (especially Lemma 3.1) and the transcendence criterion of [ABL].

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we review the basic concepts about the periodicity of sequences, and give the formally definition of the generalized Thue-Morse sequences. For a sequence $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, we set its generating function $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ by

$$g(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^n.$$

For a generalized Thue-Morse sequence, one can prove that the generating function is convergent, and that it has an infinite product expansion. In section 3, first we prove the key lemma on the k-adic expansion of natural numbers. Then we will use this lemma and the infinite product expansion of the generating function of a generalized Thue-Morse sequence to prove a necessary-sufficient condition for the non-periodicity of the sequence. Moreover we prove that if the sequence is not periodic, then all equally spaced subsequences $(a(N + nl))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) of the sequence are not periodic. In section 4, first we introduce the concept of stammering sequence ([AB1], [Bu1]) and the combinatorial transcendence criterion of [ABL], [Bu1]. Then applying combinatorial transcendence criterion of [ABL], [Bu1] to the generalized non-periodic Thue-Morse sequence$(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which take the values on $\{0, 1, , \cdots, \beta - 1\}$ where β is an integer greater than 1 (resp. which take the values on bounded positive integers), we show that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(N + nl)\beta^{-n-1}$ are transcendental numbers. (resp. the continued fraction $[0 : a(N), a(N + l) \cdots, a(N + nl) \cdots]$ are transcendental numbers.) These results include Theorem 1.2. In section 5, first we consider the necessary-sufficient condition that a generalized Thue-Morse sequence of is k-automatic sequence. Then we can find many transcendental numbers whose irrationality exponent is finite in all equally spaced subsequences of the corresponding generalized Thue-Morse sequence by result of [AC]. Moreover we consider transcendency of the value at algebraic point of the generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(N + nl)z^{-n-1}$ by [Bec].

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Hajime Kaneko for useful advice and discussions. I would also like to thank Professor Jeffrey Shallit for useful suggestion about references. I would also like to thank Professor Kimio Ueno for providing considerable support, and the members of Prof. Uenofs laboratory for their helpful and useful comments.

2 Definition of the generalized Thue-Morse sequences and their generating functions

Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence with values in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is ultimately periodic if there exist non negative integers N and $l(0 < l)$ such that

$$a(n) = a(n+l) \qquad (\forall n \geq N). \tag{2.1}$$

An equally spaced subsequence of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined to be a subsequence such as $(a(N+tl))_{t=0}^{\infty}$, where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$.

Definition 2.1 *Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence with values in $\mathbb{C}$. The sequence $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called almost everywhere non periodic if all equally spaced subsequences of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ do not take on only one value.*

Now we show some lemmas about the almost everywhere non periodic sequences.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be almost everywhere non periodic. Then $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not ultimately periodic.*

Proof. *We show in contraposition. Assume that $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is ultimately periodic. From the definition of almost everywhere non periodic, we see that there exist non negative integers N and $l(0 < l)$ such that*

$$a(n) = a(n+l), \qquad (\forall n \geq N). \tag{2.2}$$

From this, it follows that the equally spaced subsequence $(a(N+tl))_{t=0}^{\infty}$ takes on only one value. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be almost everywhere non periodic. Then all equally spaced subsequences of this sequence are almost everywhere non periodic.*

Proof. *We show in contraposition. If $(a(N+tl))_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is not almost everywhere non periodic, then there exist non negative integers k and $J(0 < J)$ such that $(a(N+kl+mJl))_{m=0}^{\infty}$ takes on only one value. $(a(N+kl+mJl))_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is an equally spaced subsequence of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, too. Then $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not almost everywhere non periodic. This completes the proof.* □

Corollary 2.1 *$(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is almost everywhere non periodic if and only if all equally spaced subsequences of this sequence are not ultimately periodic.*

Proof. *We assume that $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is almost everywhere non periodic. By Lemma 2.1, 2.2, we see that all equally spaced subsequences of this sequence are not periodic.*

We show the converse of collorally in contraposition. Assume $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not almost everywhere non periodic. Then there exist non negative integers N and $l(0 < l)$ such that $(a(N+tl))_{t=0}^{\infty}$ takes on only one value. This sequence is ultimately periodic. This completes the proof. □

Now we give the formally definition of the generalized Thue-Morse sequences.

Definition 2.2 *Let L be an integer greater than 1 and $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{L-1}$ different L complex numbers. Define a morphism f from $\{a_0, a_1 \cdots a_{L-1}\}$ to $\{a_0, a_1 \cdots a_{L-1}\}$ as follows,*

$$f(a_i) = a_{i+1}, \tag{2.3}$$

where the indice i is defined $\bmod L$. *Let f^j be the j times composed mapping of f and f^0 an identity mapping. Let A and B be finite words on $\{a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{L-1}\}$, and we denote by AB concatenation of A and B.*

Let $A_0 = a_0$. Let k be an integer greater than 1, $\mathbb{N}$ the set of non negative integers. Let κ be a map κ:$\{1, \cdots, p-1\} \times \mathbb{N} \to \{0, \cdots, L-1\}$. We define W_m, a space of words, by

$$W_m := \{a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_m} \,|a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \cdots, a_{i_m} \in \{a_0, a_1 \cdots a_{L-1}\}\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Define $A_{n+1} \in W_{k^{n+1}}$ recursively by

$$A_{n+1} := A_n f^{\kappa(1,n)}(A_n) \cdot \cdots \cdot f^{\kappa(k-1,n)}(A_n). \tag{2.5}$$

and denote the limit of A_n by

$$A_\infty := \lim_{n\to\infty} A_n. \tag{2.6}$$

We call A_∞ the generalized Thue-Morse sequence of type (L, k, κ). We call it (L, k, κ)-TM sequence for short.

Example 2.1 *Let $L = 2$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 1$, $\kappa(1, n) = 1$ for all n. Then the $(2, 2, 1)$-TM sequence is as follows,*

$$A_0 = 0,\ A_1 = 01,\ A_2 = 0110,\ A_3 = 01101001,$$
$$A_\infty = 0110100110010110100101100110100110010110011010010110100110 \cdots.$$

This is the Thue-Morse sequence.

Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence with values in $\mathbb{C}$. The generating function of $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ is a formal power series $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ defined by

$$g(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^n.$$

The following lemma clarifies the meaning of (L, k, κ)-TM sequence and will be used in the next section.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $A_\infty = ((b(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ be a (L, k, κ)-TM sequene with $a_j = \exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{L}$ (where $0 \le j \le L-1$). Let $G_{A_\infty}(z)$ be the generating function of $((b(n))_{n=0}^\infty$,*

$$G_{A_\infty}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^n.$$

Then $G_{A_\infty}(z)$ has the infinite product on $|z|<1$ as follows,

$$G_{A_\infty}(z)=\prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,y)}{L}z^{sk^y}). \tag{2.7}$$

@

Proof. *From the assumption that $a_j=\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{L}$, we have*

$$f(a_j)=\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{L}a_j. \tag{2.8}$$

By the fact (L,k,κ)-TM sequence takes only finite values and the Cauchy-Hadamard theorem, we see that $G_{A_\infty}(z)$ and $\prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,y)}{L}z^{sk^y})$ converge absolutely on the unit disk. Let $G_{A_n}(z)$ be the generating function of A_n (We identifies $A_n0\cdots0\cdots$ with A_n).

First, we will show (2.9) *by induction on n,*

$$G_{A_n}(z)=\prod_{y=0}^{n-1}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,y)}{L}z^{sk^y}). \tag{2.9}$$

First we check the case n=1. By definition of A_1, we have

$$G_{A_1}(z)=1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,0)}{L}z^{s}. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus the case n=1 is true. By the induction hypothesis we may assume that,

$$G_{A_j}(z)=\prod_{y=0}^{j-1}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,y)}{L}z^{sk^y}). \tag{2.11}$$

Then we have,

$$G_{A_{j+1}}(z)=g_{A_j}(z)+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}g_{f^{\kappa(s,j)}(A_j)}(z)z^{sk^j}. \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hands we have,

$$G_{f^{\kappa(s,j)}(A_j)}(z)=\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,j)}{L}G_{A_j}(z). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.11)-(2.13)*, we get*

$$G_{A_{j+1}}(z)=G_{A_j}(z)(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,y)}{L}z^{sk^j})=\prod_{y=0}^{j}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1}\exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s,y)}{L}z^{sk^y}). \tag{2.14}$$

This completes the proof of (2.9). *Next we will compare the coefficients of both sides* z^j *of* (2.7). *The coefficient of* z^j *in righthand side of* (2.7) *is determined by* $G_{A_N}(z)$ *for large enough* N. *By definition of* A_∞, *we see that the prefix* p^N *words of* A_∞ *is* A_N. *By the fact mentioned above and* (2.9), *the coefficients of both sides* z^j *of* (2.7) *coincide. This completes the proof.* □

Proposition 2.1 *Let* $A_\infty = ((b(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ *be a* (L, k, κ)*-TM sequene with* $a_j = \exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{L}$ (*where* $0 \leq j \leq L-1$). *Let* $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ *be a sequence defined by* (1.4). *Then*

$$\frac{L}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\log b(n) \equiv a(n) \pmod{L}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. *Let the* k*-adic expansion of* n *be as follows,*

$$n = \sum_{q=1}^{n(k)} s_{n,q}k^{w_n(q)}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $1 \leq s_{n,q} \leq k-1$, $0 \leq w_n(q) < w_n(q+1)$. *By the fact uniqueness of the* k*-adic expansion and Lemma* 2.3, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} b(n) = \textstyle\prod_{q=1}^{n(k)} \exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s_{n,q},w_n(q))}{L} &= \exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\sum_{q=1}^{n(k)}\kappa(s_{n,q},w_n(q)))}{L} \\ &= \exp\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\sum_{q=1}^{n(k)}\kappa(s_{n,q},w_n(q)) \pmod{L})}{L}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

By (2.16), (2.17) *and definition of* $a(n)$, *we get*

$$\frac{L}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\log b(n) \equiv a(n) \pmod{L}. \tag{2.18}$$

This completes the proof.

Definition 2.3 *Let* $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ *be a sequence with values in* $\mathbb{C}$. *Let* $g(z)$ *be the generating function of* $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$. *We say* $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ *is a* k*-adic expansion sequence if the* $g(z)$ *has the following infinite product expansion for an integer* k *greater than* 1 *and* $t_{s,j} \neq 0$ *for all* $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ *and for all* $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g(z) = \prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} t_{s,y}z^{sk^y}). \tag{2.19}$$

3 The necessary-sufficient condition for the non-periodicity of a generalized Thue-Morse sequence

First, we show the following key lemma about the k-adic expansion of natural numbers.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $k > 1$ and $l > 0$ be integers. Let t be a non negative integer. Then there exists an integer x such that,*

$$xl = \sum_{q=1}^{finite} s_{xl,q} k^{w_{xl}(q)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $s_{xl,1} = 1, w_{xl}(2) - w_{xl}(1) > t, w_{xl}(q+1) > w_{xl}(q) \geq 0$.
Moreover let $t^{'}$ be other non negative integer. Then there exists an integer X such that,

$$Xl = \sum_{q=1}^{finite} s_{Xl,q} k^{w_X l(q)}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $s_{Xl,1} = 1, w_{Xl}(2) - w_{Xl}(1) > t^{'}, w_{Xl}(q+1) > w_{Xl}(q) \geq 0, w_{xl}(1) = w_{Xl}(1)$.

Proof. *Assume the factorization into prime factors of k as follows,*

$$k = \prod_{t=1}^{k} {p_t}^{y_t}, \tag{3.3}$$

where p_t $(1 \leq t \leq k)$ are k prime numbers and y_t $(1 \leq t \leq k)$ are k positive integers. Let l be represented as follows,

$$l = G \prod_{u=1}^{n} {p_{t_u}}^{x_u}, \tag{3.4}$$

where G and k are coprime, $p_{t_u} \in \{p_t | 1 \leq t \leq k\}$ and x_u are n positive integers. By the fact G and k are coprime, then there exist integers D and E such that

$$DG = 1 - k^{t+1}E. \tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$F := max\{A | x_u = y_{t_u} A + H,\ 0 \leq H < y_{t_u},\ 1 \leq u \leq n\}.$$

From the definition of F, we see that $k^{F+1} \prod_{u=1}^{n} {p_{t_u}}^{-x_u}$ is a natural number. Then we have

$$lD^2 G k^{F+1} \prod_{u=1}^{n} {p_{t_u}}^{-x_u} = k^{F+1} D^2 G^2. \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hands, by (3.5), we have

$$D^2 G^2 = 1 + k^{t+1} E(k^{t+1} E - 2). \tag{3.7}$$

Then we see that $E(k^{t+1}E-2)$ is a natural number. Since the k-adic expansion of $E(k^{t+1}E-2)$, if $E(k^{t+1}E-2)>0$, then $k^{F+1}D^2G^2$ satisfies lemma . If $E(k^{t+1}E-2)=0$, then $G=1$. $k^{F+1}(1+k^{t+1})$ satisfies lemma.

Since $F+1$ independent of t, then the second claim is trivial. This completes the proof. □

We will show almost everywhere nonperiodic result for *k-adic* expansion sequences by previous lemma.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a k-adic expansion sequence and $G_{A_\infty}(z)$ the generating function of this sequence. If there exists an equally spaced subsequence of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which is periodic, then there exist a non negative integer A and a constant h which satisfy*

$$G_{A_\infty}(z) = (\sum_{n=0}^{k^A-1} a(n)z^n) \prod_{y=0}^{\infty}(1+\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} h^{sk^y} z^{sk^{A+y}}). \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. *Let n and m be two natural numbers and their k-adic expansions are as follows,*

$$n = \sum_{q}^{finite} s_{n,q}k^{w_n(q)}, m = \sum_{p}^{finite} s_{m,p}k^{w_m(p)} \tag{3.9}$$

where $1 \le s_{n,q}, s_{m,p} \le k-1$, $w_n(q+1) > w_n(q) \ge 0$, and $w_m(p+1) > w_m(p) \ge 0$. From the definition of k-adic expansion sequence and the uniquness of k-adic expansion of natural numbers, we see that if $w_n(q) \ne w_n(p)$ for all pairs (q,p), then

$$a(n+m) = a(n)a(m). \tag{3.10}$$

Assume there exists an equally spaced subsequence of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which is periodic. By Corollary 2.1, $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is not almost everywhere nonperiodic. Then there exist non negative integers N and $l>0$ such that

$$a(N) = a(N+tl) \qquad (\forall t \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{3.11}$$

Let the k-adic expansion of N be as follows,

$$N = \sum_{q=1}^{N(k)} s_{N,q}k^{w_N(q)} \qquad where\ 1 \le s_{N,q} \le k-1, 0 \le w_N(q) < w_N(q+1). \tag{3.12}$$

By the definition of k-adic expansion sequence and (3.10)*, we have*

$$a(N) = a(N+k^r tl) = a(N)a(k^r tl) \qquad (\forall r > w_N(N(k))). \tag{3.13}$$

$$a(N) \ne 0. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.13) *and* (3.14)*, we get*

$$a(k^r tl) = 1 \qquad (\forall r > w_N(N(k))). \tag{3.15}$$

By Lemma 3.1*, we see that there exists an integer* x *greater than zero such that*

$$xl = \sum_{q=1}^{xl(k)} s_{xl,q} k^{w_{xl}(q)}. \tag{3.16}$$

where $s_{xl,1} = 1$ *and* $w_{xl}(2) - w_{xl}(1) > 1$.
Moreover by Lemma 3.1*, we see that there exists an integer* X *greater than zero such that*

$$Xl = \sum_{q=1}^{Xl(k)} s_{Xl_q} k^{w_{Xl}(q)}. \tag{3.17}$$

where $s_{Xl,1} = 1$, $w_{Xl}(2) - w_{Xl}(1) > w_{xl}(xl(p))$ *and* $w_{Xl}(1) = w_{xl}(1)$.

Let $xlk^{-w_{xl}(1)}$ ($Xlk^{-w_{xl}(1)}$) *be replaced by* xl (*respectively* Xl). *Let* r *be any integer greater than* $w(N(k)) + w_{xl}(1)$ *and* s *any integer in* $\{1, \cdots, k-1\}$.

By the definition of Xl *and* (3.10)*, we have*

$$a(k^r sXl) = a(sk^r)a(k^r sXl - sk^r). \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.10) *and* (3.15)*, we get*

$$1 = a(k^r xl), \tag{3.19}$$

$$1 = a(k^r sXl), \tag{3.20}$$

$$1 = a(k^r xl + k^r sXl). \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.10)*,* (3.18)-(3.21)*, the definitions of* xl *and* Xl*, we have*

$$a(k^r)a(k^r xl - k^r) = 1, \tag{3.22}$$

$$a(lsk^r)a(sXlk^r - sk^r) = 1, \tag{3.23}$$

$$a(k^r(s+1))a(xlk^r - k^r)a(sXlk^r - sk^r) = 1. \tag{3.24}$$

From (3.22)-(3.24)*, we get*

$$a(k^r(s+1)) = a(k^r)a(k^r s). \tag{3.25}$$

Let $h := a(k^{w(N(k))+w_{xl}(1)+1})$ *and using the same notation of Definition* 2.3.

By (3.10)*, we have*

$$a(sk^y) = t_{s,y}, \tag{3.26}$$

for all $1 \le s \le k-1$ *and for all* $y \in \mathbb{N}$.
By (3.14)*,* (3.25)*,* (3.26) *and inductively computation, we get the following relations,*

$$t_{s,w(N(k))+w_{xl}(1)+1+y} = h^{sk^y}, \tag{3.27}$$

for all $1 \le s \le k-1$ *and for all* $y \in \mathbb{N}$. *Since the definition of* k*-adic expansion sequence, this completes the proof.* □

Theorem 3.1 *Let $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ be a (L,k,κ)-TM sequence. Then $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ is ultimately periodic if and only if there exists an integer A such that*

$$\kappa(s, A+y) \equiv \kappa(1,A) s p^y \pmod{L}, \tag{3.28}$$

for all $1 \le s \le k-1$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover if (L,k,κ)-TM sequence is not ultimately periodic, then all equally spaced subsequences of (L,k,κ)-TM sequence are not ultimately periodic.

Proof. *Assume without loss of generality that $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ is a (L,k,κ)-TM sequence with $a_j = \exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{L}$ (where $0 \le j \le L-1$). From this assumption and Lemma 2.3, then $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ is the k-adic expansion sequence. By the fact mentioned above and previous Proposition, we see that (3.28) is the necessary condition.*

We will show converse. Let $G_{A_\infty}(z)$ be the generating function of $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$. We use the same notation of Definition 2.3. Assume $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfies (3.28), then there exists a non negative integer A such that

$$t_{s,A+y} = h^{sk^y} \qquad (\forall y \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{3.29}$$

Then $G_{A_\infty}(z)$ has the infinite product expansion as follows,

$$G_{A_\infty}(z) = (\sum_{n=0}^{k^A-1} b(n) z^n) \prod_{y=0}^{\infty} (1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (h z^{k^A})^{sk^y}). \tag{3.30}$$

Let $Z = hz^{k^A}$. By the fact h is L-th root of 1 and Lemma 2.3 when κ is zero map, we have

$$\prod_{y=0}^{\infty} (1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} Z^{sk^y}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z^n \qquad \text{on } |Z| < 1. \tag{3.31}$$

Put $G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k^A-1} a(n) z^n$. From (3.30) and (3.31), we get

$$G_{A_\infty}(z) = G(z) (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (h z^{k^A})^n). \tag{3.32}$$

Since h is L-th root of 1 and (3.32), we have

$$G_{A_\infty}(z) = (G(z)(\sum_{n=0}^{L-1} (h z^{k^A})^n))(1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} z^{sLk^A}) = \frac{G(z)(\sum_{n=0}^{L-1} (h z^{k^A})^n)}{1 - z^{Lk^A}}. \tag{3.33}$$

By the fact the degree of $G(z)$ is $k^A - 1$ and (3.33), then we see that the sequence $(a(n))_{n=0}^\infty$ which satisfies (3.28) has period Lk^A.

By the fact mentioned above and Proposition 3.1, we see that if (L,k,κ)-TM sequence is not ultimately periodic, then all equally spaced sequences of (L,k,κ)-TM sequence are not ultimately periodic. This completes the proof. □

If (L,k,κ)-TM sequence independent of n, then $(\kappa(1),\kappa(2),\cdots,\kappa(k-1))$-$L$ denote (L,k,κ)-TM sequence. The weak version of the following corollary can be found as Theorem 2 in [MM] (see also [AS2], [Fr]).

Corollary 3.1 *$(\kappa(1),\kappa(2),\cdots,\kappa(k-1))$-$L$ is periodic if and only if $\kappa(s)$(for all $1\leq s\leq k-1$) which satisfies*

$$s\kappa(1)\equiv\kappa(s),\kappa(k-1)\equiv 0 \pmod{L}. \tag{3.34}$$

Moreover if $(\kappa(1),\kappa(2),\cdots,\kappa(k-1))$-$L$ is not periodic, then all equally spaced subsequences of $(\kappa(1),\kappa(2),\cdots,\kappa(k-1))-L$ are not periodic.

Proof. *By Theorem* 3.1*, then we see that necessary-sufficient condition for the periodicity of $(\kappa(1),\kappa(2),\cdots,\kappa(k-1))$-$L$ is the following relations,*

$$\kappa(1,A+1)\equiv\kappa(1,A)k \pmod{L},\kappa(k-1)\equiv(k-1)\kappa(1)\equiv 0 \pmod{L}. \tag{3.35}$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Transcendence results of the generalized Thue-Morse sequences

The authors [ABL] introduced the new class of sequences as follows: For any positive number y, we define that $\lfloor y\rfloor$ and $\lceil y\rceil$ denote the floor and ceiling functions. Let W be finite word on $\{a_0,a_1\cdots a_{L-1}\}$. Let $|W|$ be length of W. For any positive number x, we denote by W^x the word $W^{\lfloor x\rfloor}W^{`}$, where $W^{`}$ is prefix of W of length $\lceil(x-\lfloor x\rfloor)|W|\rceil$.

Definition 4.1 *$(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be a stammering sequence if $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the following conditions,*

(1) *$(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a non periodic sequence.*

(2) *There exist two sequences of finite words $(U_m)_{m\geq 1}$, $(V_m)_{m\geq 1}$ such that,*

(A) There exist a real number $w>1$ independent of n such that, the word $U_mV_m{}^w$ is a prefix of the word $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$,

(B) $\lim_{m\to\infty}|U_m|/|V_m|<+\infty$,

(C) $\lim_{m\to\infty}|V_m|=+\infty$.

Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive integers. We put the continued fractions as follows,

$$[0:a(0),a(1),\cdots,a(n)\cdots]:=0+\cfrac{1}{a(0)+\cfrac{1}{a(1)+\cfrac{1}{\cdots+\cfrac{1}{a(n)+\cfrac{1}{\cdots}}}}}. \tag{4.1}$$

The authors [ABL], [Bu1] proved the following amazing result by using Schmidt Subspace Theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (ABL-Bu1) *Let β be an integer greater than 1. Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a stammering sequence on $\{0, 1, \cdots, \beta-1\}$. Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{\beta^{n+1}}$ is a transcendental number. Moreover if $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a stammering sequence on bounded positive integers, then the continued fraction $[0 : a(0), a(1) \cdots, a(n) \cdots]$ is a transcendental number, too.*

By Theorem 3.1 and 4.1, we will show the next theorem that includes Theorem1.2.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a (L, k, κ)-TM sequence. Let β be an integer greater than 1. If $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ takes the values on $\{0, 1, \cdots, \beta-1\}$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(N+nl)}{\beta^{n+1}}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) is a transcendental numbers unless there exists an integer A such that*

$$\kappa(s, A+y) \equiv \kappa(1, A) s k^y \pmod{L}, \tag{4.2}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{N}$.
Moreover if $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ takes the values on positive integers, then $[0 : a(N), a(N+s) \cdots, a(N+nl) \cdots]$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) is a transcendental numbers unless there exists an integer A such that

$$\kappa(s, A+y) \equiv \kappa(1, A) s p^y \pmod{L}, \tag{4.3}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. *Let N and $l > 0$ be positive integers. By Theorem 3.1, $(a(N+nl))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is non periodic. Then we will prove only that (L, k, κ)-TM satisfies the condition (2) of Definition 4.1.*

There exists an integer M such that $k^M > 2(N+l)$. Assume $m > M$. Since f is a cyclic permutation of order L and the Definition 2.2, we see that prefix $(Ll+1)k^m$ word of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is as follows,

$$A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty} = A_m f^{i_1}(A_m) \cdots f^{i_{Ll}}(A_m) \cdots, \tag{4.4}$$

where A_m is prefix k^m word of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $i_j (1 \leq j \leq Ll) \in \{0, \ldots, L-1\}$.

By (4.4), we have

$$f^{i_{tl}}(a(n)) = a(n + k^m tl), \tag{4.5}$$

for all $0 \leq n \leq k^m - 1$ and for all $1 \leq t \leq L$.

By the fact f is a cyclic permutation of order L, (4.4), (4.5) and Dirchlet Schubfachprinzip, then we have

$$(a(N+nl))_{n=0}^{\infty} = W_{1,m} W_{2,m} W_{3,m} W_{2,m} \cdots, \tag{4.6}$$

where $W_{i,m}$ $(i \in \{1, 2, 3\})$ are finite words and

$$|W_{1,m}| \leq ((Ll+1)k^m - N)/l + 1, \tag{4.7}$$
$$|W_{2,m}| \geq (k^m - N)/l - 1, \tag{4.8}$$
$$|W_{2,m}| + |W_{3,m}| \leq ((Ll+1)k^m - N)/l + 1. \tag{4.9}$$

We put $U_m := W_{1,m}$, $V_m := W_{2,m}W_{3,m}$ *and* $w := 1 + \frac{1}{2Ll+3}$.

Since (4.7)-(4.9) *and the assumption of* m, *then we get*

$$\lceil (w-1)|V_m| \rceil = \lceil \tfrac{1}{2Ll+3}(|W_{2,m}| + |W_{3,m}|) \rceil \leq$$
$$\tfrac{1}{2Ll+3}((Ll+1)k^m - N + l)/l \leq \tfrac{k^m}{2l} \ < |W_{2,m}|. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.10), *we see that* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *satisfies the condition* (A).

Furthermore we have,

$$|U_m|/|V_m| = |W_{1,m}|/|W_{2,m}W_{3,m}| \leq$$
$$((Ll+1)k^m - N + l)/l \times l/(k^m - N - l) \leq 2Ll + 3. \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.11), *we see that* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *satisfies the condition* (B).

Obviously, $(V_m)_{m\geq 1}$ *satisfies the condition* (C). *This completes the proof.* □

Corollary 4.1 *Let* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *be a* (L, k, κ)*-TM sequene. Let* β *be an integer greater than* 1. *If* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *takes the values on* $\{0, 1, \cdots, \beta - 1\}$ *,then the generating function* $f(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(N+nl)}{z^{n+1}}$ (*where* $N \geq 0$ *and* $l > 0$) *are transcendental over* $\mathbb{C}(z)$ *unless there exists an integer* A *such that*

$$\kappa(s, A + y) \equiv \kappa(1, A)sk^y \pmod{L}, \tag{4.12}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ *and for all* $y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. *We assume* $f(z)$ *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{C}(z)$. *By the fact* $f(z)$ *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ *if and only if* $f(z)$ *is algebraic over* $\mathbb{C}(z)$ (*see Remark of Theorem*1.2 *in* [*N*]), *then there exist* $c_i(z) \in \mathbb{Q}(z)$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ *such that* $c_n(z)c_0(z) \neq 0$, $c_i(z)$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ *are coprime and satisfy following equation*

$$c_n(z)f^n(z) + c_{n-1}(z)f^{n-1}(z) + \cdots + c_0(z) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

From Theorem 4.2, *we see that* $f(\frac{1}{\beta})$ *is a transcendental number. By the fact mentioned above and* (4.13), *we get* $c_i(\frac{1}{\beta}) = 0$ (*for all* $0 \leq i \leq n$). *This contradics the assumption that* $c_i(z)$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ *are coprime.* □

5 The k-automatic generalized Thue-Morse sequences and some results

First we introduce some definitions.

Definition 5.1 *Let* α *be an irrational real number. The irrationality exponent* $\mu(\alpha)$ *of* α *is the supremum of the real numbers* μ *such that the inequality*

$$|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^{\mu}}, \tag{5.1}$$

has infinitely many solutions in non zero integers p *and* q.

Definition 5.2 *The k-kernel of* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is the set of all subsequences of the form* $(a(k^e n + j))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *where* $e \geq 0$ *and* $0 \leq j \leq k^e - 1$.

Definition 5.3 *We say* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a k-automatic sequence if the k-kernel of* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is the finite set.*

Definition 5.4 *We say* $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) z^n \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ *is a k-automatic power series if* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a k-automatic sequence.*

Definition 5.5 *We say* (L, k, κ)*-TM sequence is n-period if there exist non negative integers* N *and* $t(0 < t)$ *such that*

$$\kappa(s, n) = \kappa(s, n + t), \tag{5.2}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k - 1$ *and for all* $n \geq N$.

Now we introduce the following two results.

Theorem 5.1 (AC) *Let* β *be an integer greater than* 1. *Let* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a non-periodic k-automatic sequence on* $\{0, 1, \cdots, \beta - 1\}$. *Then* $\mu(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{\beta^{n+1}})$ *is finite.*

This theorem is obtained in [AC].

Theorem 5.2 (Be) *Let* $f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ *be a k-automatic power series. Let* $0 < R < 1$. *If* $f(z)$ *is transcendental over* $\mathbb{Q}(z)$, *then* $f(\alpha)$ *is transcendental for all but finitely many algebraic numbers* α *with* $|\alpha| \leq R$.

This theorem is obtained in [Bec].

Now we consider (L, k, κ)-TM sequence of the necessary-sufficient condition that it is a k-automatic sequence.

Proposition 5.1 *A* (L, k, κ)*-TM sequence is n-period if and only if it is a k-automatic sequence.*

Proof. *Assume without loss of generality that* $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a* (L, k, κ)*-TM sequence with* $a_j = \exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j}{L}$ *(where* $0 \leq j \leq L - 1$ *).*

We assume $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a k-automatic sequence. Since the k-kernel of* $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is finite set, we see that there exist integers* e *and* $0 < t$ *such shat*

$$a(k^e n) = a(k^{e+t} n) \qquad (\forall n \geq 0). \tag{5.3}$$

Let s *be any integer in* $\{1, 2, \cdots, k - 1\}$ *and* y *any integer in* $\mathbb{N}$. *By Lemma* 2.3 *with* (3.10) *and* (5.3) *with substituting* sk^y *for* n, *we have*

$$\exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s, e + y)}{L} = a(k^e s k^y) = a(k^{e+t} s k^y) = \exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\kappa(s, e + y + t)}{L}. \tag{5.4}$$

Since the definition of (L,k,κ)-TM sequence and (5.4)*, then $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is n-period.*

We will show converse. If (L,k,κ)-TM sequene $A_\infty = (a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is n-period, then there exist non negative integers e and $0 < t$ such that

$$\kappa(s, e+n) = \kappa(s, e+n+t) \qquad (\forall n \geq e \text{ and } 1 \leq \forall s \leq k-1). \tag{5.5}$$

Let l be any integer greater than $t-1$ and $(a(k^{e+l}n+j))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (where $0 \leq j \leq k^{e+l}-1$) any sequence in k-kernel of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

From Lemma 2.3 *with* (3.10)*, we get*

$$a(k^{e+l}n+j) = a(k^{e+l}n)a(j). \tag{5.6}$$

By the fact $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ takes on only finitely many values, then $a(j)$ takes on only finitely many values, too.

Let the k-adic expansion of n as follows,

$$n = \sum_{q=1}^{N(n)} s_q k^{w(j)} \qquad \text{where } 1 \leq s_q \leq k-1, w(q+1) > w(q) \geq 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Let $l(t) \equiv l \pmod t$ where $0 \leq l(t) \leq t-1$. By Lemma 2.3 *with* (3.10)*, we have*

$$a(k^{e+l}n) = a(\sum_{q=1}^{N(n)} s_q k^{w(q)+e+l}) = \prod_{q=1}^{N(n)} a(s_q k^{w(q)+e+l}). \tag{5.8}$$

From (5.7)*,* (5.8)*, and Lemma* 2.3 *with* (3.10)*, we get*

$$\begin{aligned} a(k^{e+l}n) &= \prod_{q=1}^{N(n)} a(s_q k^{w(q)+e+l}) = \prod_{q=1}^{N(n)} a(s_q k^{w(q)+e+l(t)}) \\ &= a(\sum_{q=1}^{N(n)} s_q k^{w(q)+e+l(t)}) = a(k^{e+l(t)}n). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

By the fact $a(j)$ takes on only finitely many values, (5.6) *and* (5.9)*, then the k-kernel of $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is finite set. This completes the proof.* □

Theorem 5.3 *Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a (L,k,κ)-TM. Let β be an integer greater than* 1*. If $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ takes on $\{0,1,\cdots,\beta-1\}$ and n-period, then $\mu(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a(N+nl)}{\beta^{n+1}})$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) is finite unless there exists an integer A such that*

$$\kappa(s, A+y) \equiv \kappa(1, A) s k^y \pmod L, \tag{5.10}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. *By previous proposition, $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a k-automatic sequence. By the fact if $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is k-automatic, then $(a(N+nl)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) are k-automatic, too. (see Theorem* 2.6 *in [AS1]). From Theorem* 4.2 *and* 5.1*, we see that $\mu(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{a(N+nl)}{\beta^{n+1}})$ is finite.* □

Theorem 5.4 *Let $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a (L,k,κ)-TM. Let β be an integer greater than 1. Let $f(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(N+nl)}{z^{n+1}}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$). Let $0 < R < 1$. If $(a(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ takes on $\{0, 1, \cdots, \beta - 1\}$ and n-period, then $f(\alpha)$ is transcendental number for all but finitely many algebraic numbers α with $|\alpha| \leq R$ unless there exists an integer A such that*

$$\kappa(s, A + y) \equiv \kappa(1, A) s k^y \pmod{L}, \tag{5.11}$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq k-1$ and for all $y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. *By Corollary 4.1, $f(z)$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. From Proposition 5.1, we see that $(a(N + nl))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (where $N \geq 0$ and $l > 0$) are k-automatic sequences, too. Then $f(z)$ is a k-automatic power series. Since Theorem 5.2, then $f(\alpha)$ is transcendental for all but finitely many algebraic numbers α with $|\alpha| \leq R$. This completes the proof.* □

References

[A] B. Adamczewski, *On the expansion of some exponential periods in an integer base*, Math. Ann. (2010), 107-116.

[AB1] B. Adamczewski, and Y. Bugeaud, *On the complexity of algebraic numbers I*, Ann. of Math. 165 (2007), 547-565.

[AB2] B. Adamczewski, and Y. Bugeaud, *On the independence of expansion of algebraic numbers in an integer base*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 39 (2007), 283-289.

[ABL] B. Adamczewski, Y. Bugeaud and F. Luca, *Sur la complexite des nombres algebriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 339 (2004),11-14.

[AC] B. Adamczewski and J. Cassaigne, *Diophantine properties of real numbers generated by finite automata*, Compos. Math (2006),1351-1372.

[AS1] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *The ring of k-regular sequences*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 98 (1992), no. 2, 163-197.

[AS2] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *Sums of digits, overlaps, and palindromes*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 4 (2000), no. 1, 1-10.

[AS3] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *The ring of k-regular sequences. II*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 307 (2003), no. 1, 3-29.

[Bec] P.G. Becker, *k-regular power series and Mahler-type functional equations*, J.Number Theory 49(1994), 269-286.

[Ber-R] V. Berthe and M. Rigo, *Combinatorics, Automatia and Number Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 135,Cambridge University Press 2010(1994).

[Bu1] Y. Bugeaud, *Automatic continued fractions are transcendental or quadratic*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super 46 (2013), 1005-1022.

[Bu2] Y. Bugeaud, *Distribution modulo one and Diophantine approximation*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 193.

[E] F. Emmanuel, *An analogue of the Thue-Morse sequence*,

[Fo] N. P. Fogg, *Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Springer; (2002).

[Fr] Frid. A. E, *Overlap-free symmetric D0L words*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 4 (2001), no. 2, 357-362

[M] E. Miyanohara, *Study on the non-periodicity of the generalized Thue-Morse sequences generated by cyclic permutations*, preprint.

[MM] P. Morton and W. J. Mourant, *Digit patterns and transcendental numbers*, J Australian Math. Soc 51, (1991).

[MSS] J. F. Morgenbesser, J. Shallit and T. Stoll, *Thue-Morse at multiples of an integer*, J. Number Theory 131 (2011), no. 8, 1498-1512.

[N] K. Nishioka, *Mahler Functions and Transcendence*, LectureNotes in Mathmatics Springer; (1996).

[T] A. Thue, *Selected Mathematical Papers of AXEL THUE*, Universitetsforlaget (1977).